FILED

FEB 2 4 2010

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Clerk, U.S. District and Bankruptcy Courts

Kareemah Bell-Boston,	)		• •
Plaintiff,	)	10	
v.	) Civil Action No.		0286
Crime Victims Compensation,	)		
Defendant.	ý)		

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant plaintiff's application and dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

The subject matter jurisdiction of the federal district courts is limited and is set forth generally at 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332. Under those statutes, federal jurisdiction is available only when a "federal question" is presented or the parties are of diverse citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. A party seeking relief in the district court must at least plead facts that bring the suit within the court's jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Failure to plead such facts warrants dismissal of the action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

Plaintiff, who lists her mailing address as a Landover Hills, Maryland, residence, sues

Crime Victims Compensation at the Superior Court of the District of Columbia to obtain

assistance. The complaint neither presents a federal question nor provides a basis for diversity

jurisdiction because no amount in controversy is pleaded. Plaintiff's recourse lies, if at all, in the

Superior Court of the District of Columbia. Accordingly, the complaint will be dismissed. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: February <u>19</u>, 2010

Leun Janes J.
United States District Judge